

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/754,812	Applicant(s) SCHULTE ET AL.	
	Examiner Gregory J. Strimbu	Art Unit 3634	

All Participants:

(1) Gregory J. Strimbu.

(2) Matthew Jorge.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

31, 38, 42, 43, 45, 48, 50, 54, 55-63 and 66

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Suggested changes to more accurately and patentably define the applicant's invention and to overcome 35 USC 112 informalities. See the examiner's amendment for the changes made

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)